In Focus: The Enron Scandal

This corporation was identified by Fortune Magazine as America's Most Innovative Company 1996 to 2001 (Lindstrom par. 1). It was garnered as the 7th largest company on the Fortune 500 list in the US in 2000 and it placed sixth in the largest energy company in the world in 2000 ("Enron Corporation" par. 6). Who does not know the Enron Corporation, a giant in the commerce of energy? But among all these prestigious titles, there is another that the Enron Corporation is famous for, the Enron Scandal.

Enron was the result of a merger between two gas pipe line companies in 1985. The Houston Natural Gas Co and a Nebraska based company called InterNorth. The fusion between the two became the Enron Corporation in 1986 ("Enron Corporation" par. 2). The firm experienced a rapid growth as it shifted from being a gas pipeline company into a "global energy trader ("Enron Corporation" par. 6)." Enron enjoyed profuse economic benefits and experienced revenues amounting up to 100 billion dollars by 2000 ("Enron Corporation" par. 6). The firm ventured to other facilities like Internet broadband called Enron Online (Lindstrom par. 9). For a company who reported to be harvesting so much profit and having so many investments would boggle your mind on why Enron still broke down. Where did Enron go wrong? What brought this billion dollar business down into bankruptcy?

It was in the year 2001 that the company's stability was put into question. Enron entered into an agreement to run movies on the World Wide Web with Blockbuster Inc., "a motion-picture video rental company". However in March this deal was cancelled and in April Enron admitted that insolvent Californian energy firms are indebted with the company for more than \$500 million dollars. The resignation of its chief executive officer (CEO), Jeffrey Skilling in August strengthens the validity of the shocking disclosure. By October 16 the company declared a \$618 million loss for its third-quarter and the following day Enron

stated the most scandalous truth. It seems the company's net worth had been overstated by more than \$1 billion dollars. It is because of these two shocking revelations that Enron's stock price dropped (Lindstrom par. 11). It turns out that the company was suffering huge losses beforehand, but the company managed to manipulate its accounting data to allegedly cover up the undesirable information regarding its finances. The fraudulent acts were well hidden as the company was able to deceive even the most keen debt and capital investors (which include the pension funds holders and investment banks) (Lindstrom par. 16). How did Enron do it? The answer to the question is through "creative accounting" (Lindstrom par. 16). In order to escape reporting its enormous losses and to make it look like the company is still profiting, the company used a tactic called "creative accounting". For example, Enron appraised values of its assets like the deepwater drilling operations wherein the worth of the mineral deposits is recorded higher than their actual value or they declare higher values for future contracts. The most notorious tactic was Enron camouflaged the deficits through partnerships or legally termed as "special purpose entities" (SPEs) (Lindstrom par. 16).

SPE's are used by firms to help minimize risk by transferring assets under distinct partnerships that can be bought by external investors. What Enron did is it sold its assets that are not earning anymore to partnerships and the company recorded the proceeds as income. Accounting principles only accept this kind of set-up when SPE's are legally separated from the company that made it, however Enron did opposite. The SPEs were dependent on Enron's leadership and skill capacity and capital stock. You would ask how did Enron got away with it since there would come a time when the financial reports of the company would be audited. Well, the auditing committee of the company has little information handed to them to work with. This was very irresponsible on their part. Also, the management gives them sufficient benefits so they are not really encouraged to ask the management controversial questions (Lindstrom par. 17)...

...References:

- Enron Corporation. Microsoft Encarta Premium 2006. Vers. 15.0.0.0603. CD. Microsoft Corporation, 2005. Windows
- Lindstrom, Diane. *Enron Scandal*. <u>Microsoft Encarta Premium 2006</u>. Vers. 15.0.0.0603. CD. Microsoft Corporation, 2005. Windows

Bibliography:

- Hitt, Ireland, and Robert E. Hoskisson, eds. *Strategic Management: Concepts and Cases*. USA: 2006.
- Bruce Nussbaum. "Can You Trust Anybody Anymore." Special Report. January 28, 2002.

 The McGraw-Hill Companies: BusinessWeek. September 30, 2007

 http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/content/02_04/b3767701.htm
- Byrnes, Nanette, et al. "Accounting In Crisis." Special Report. January 28, 2002. The McGraw-Hill Companies: BusinessWeek. September 30, 2007

 http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/content/02 04/b3767712.htm>
- Henry, David, et al. "Who Else Is Hiding Debt." Special Report. January 28, 2002. The McGraw-Hill Companies: BusinessWeek. September 30, 2007

 http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/content/02 04/b3767704.htm>
- Zellner and Michael Arndt. "The Perfect Sales Pitch: No Debt, No Worries." Special Report.

 January 28, 2002. The McGraw-Hill Companies: BusinessWeek. September 30, 2007

 http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/content/02_04/b3767703.htm